Hackers don't give a shit:



New Zealand's Hacker con - Wellington

- About your project's scope
- It's managed by a third party
- It's a legacy system
- It's "too critical to patch"
- About your outage windows
- About your budget
- You've always done it that way
- About your Go-Live Date
- It's only a pilot/proof of concept
- About Non-Disclosure Agreements
- It wasn't a requirement in the contract
- It's an internal system
- It's really hard to change
- It's due for replacement
- You're not sure how to fx it
- It's handled in the Cloud
- About your Risk Register entry
- The vendor doesn't support that configuration
- It's an interim solution
- It's [insert standard here] compliant
- It's encrypted on disk
- The cost beneft doesn't stack up
- "Nobody else could f gure that out"
- You can't explain the risk to "The Business"
- You've got other priorities
- About your faith in the competence of your internal users
- You don't have a business justif cation
- You can't show Return on Investment
- You contracted out that risk